

10:3.1.1

# Facsimile Cover Sheet

Please forward the  
following pages to:

**Recipient** Sean Sheldrake  
**Organization** U.S. EPA  
**FAX Number** 553-0124

**From:**

**Sender** Joan Stoupa/SEA  
**Organization** CH2M HILL  
**FAX Number** 206 462 5957

**FAX Information:**

**Date** November 8, 1994 10:01:12 am  
**Pages** 2, excluding cover sheet.  
**Subject** CH2M HILL response to Rust Letter

**Cover Note:**

Sean:  
Attached is my response to BLP of Rusts letter.  
Joan

USEPA SF



1203944

10.03.01.01

November 8, 1994

NPE 69242.02.02

Mr. Frank Breidt  
Bunker Limited Partnership  
135 E. Cameron  
Kellogg, ID 83837

Dear Frank:

Subject: Bunker Hill Mine Operations Area, Response to Rust Remedial Services  
Request for Asbestos Information

This letter is in response to Rust Remedial Services' letter to CH2M HILL dated November 2 requesting asbestos-related information used by CH2M HILL to develop the design of the remedial action at the Mine Operations Area.

Firstly, Rust requested copies of environmental reports or drawings used by CH2M HILL to prepare the MOA contract documents as per Section 6.2.3 of the Instruction to Bidders (which refers to asbestos, PCBs and hazardous waste). PCB and general hazardous waste information that was utilized by CH2M HILL for the remedial design is found summarized in the Remedial Investigation (RI) Reports and in the Task 8 Data Evaluation Reports for the Industrial Complex. As noted in the MOA contract documents, these reports are available at the Superfund Project Office for review. With respect to asbestos at the MOA, the reports contain negligible information, likely because the MOA buildings were initially planned to be decontaminated versus demolished.

With respect to Rust's request for information used to arrive at the areas and quantities included in Part 6, Item 2, MOA Asbestos Estimated Quantities, CH2M HILL provides the following clarifications:

- An asbestos survey was not conducted by CH2M HILL for the remedial action design. This was clearly stated at the pre-bid meeting that all potential bidders attended. In addition, it was stated by CH2M HILL at the pre-bid meeting that bidding contractors would need to develop their own asbestos quantity estimates for their bidding purposes. We also stated that

Mr. Frank Breidt  
Page 2  
November 8, 1994  
NPE 69242.02.02

we would include in the Contractor Data portion of the specifications (Part 6) a listing of suspected asbestos containing material based on visual observations. The listing shown in Part 6 was developed by an AHERA-certified oversight inspector and is based on visual observation and taping of distances.

- Based on feedback from potential bidding contractors during the bidding period, CH2M HILL obtained samples of roofing materials for the MOA buildings and conducted asbestos analyses to provide additional data for informational use only to assist in bid preparation. This information was conveyed to the bidding contractors via Addenda No. 1 dated August 24, 1994. The samples were obtained and analysed in accordance with NESHAP and EPA guidances (40 CFR part 61, subpart M; EPA 560/5-85-030a, the "pink book"). The samples were obtained by an AHERA-certified staff person and tested at a NVLAP-certified laboratory.
- As you are aware, Part 6 information is not part of the contract documents and is included for informational use only. As stated, no warranty is given or implied to the accuracy of the information. Section 3.1 of the General Conditions provides a summary of the order of precedence between the components of the contract document.

If Rust would like to review the RI documents and the Task 8 DERs, I suggest that they review copies that are located at the Superfund Project Office in Kellogg. A complete record of background information is maintained there. Should you or Rust have any additional questions feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

Joan Stoupa, P.E.  
Project Manager

jxs/C:\bh242\moa\rust.w51  
cc: Sean Sheldrake/EPA  
August Ochabauer  
File